

ON S. MAZUR'S PROBLEMS 8 AND 88 FROM THE SCOTTISH BOOK

V.V. PELLER

ABSTRACT. The paper discusses Problems 8 and 88 posed by Stanisław Mazur in the Scottish Book [SB]. It turns out that negative solutions to both problems are immediate consequences of the results of §5 of [P1]. We discuss here some quantitative aspects of Problems 8 and 88 and give answers to open problems discussed in a recent paper [PS] in connection with Problem 88.

1. Introduction

We are going to discuss in this paper Problems 8 and 88 posed by Stanisław Mazur in the Scottish Book [SB]. Problem 88 asks whether a Hankel matrix in the injective tensor product $\ell^1 \hat{\otimes} \ell^1$ of two spaces ℓ^1 must have finite sum of the moduli of its matrix entries. Problem 8 asks whether for an arbitrary sequence $\{z_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in the space c of converging sequences there exist sequences $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in the space c such that

$$z_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n x_k y_{n-k}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

We give precise statements of the problems and all necessary definitions later.

It turned out that both problems have negative solutions. Independently, solutions were obtained by Kwapien and Pełczyński [KP] and Eggermont and Leung [EL]. In a recent paper by Pełczyński and Sukochev [PS] in Section 6 certain quantitative results related to negative solutions of Problems 8 and 88 are obtained and certain open problems are raised.

It turns out, however, that the results of Section 5 of my earlier paper [P1] immediately imply negative solutions to Problems 8 and 88. Moreover, Section 5 of [P1] contains much stronger results. In particular, a complete description of the Hankel matrices¹ in the injective tensor product of two spaces ℓ^1 is obtained in [P1] in terms of the Besov space $B_{\infty,1}^1$. Unfortunately, I was not aware about the Problems 8 and 88 when I wrote the paper [P1].

In Sections 3 and 4 of this paper we explain why the results of [P1] immediately imply negative solutions to Problems 8 and 88 and we give a solution to the problems raised in [PS].

The author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0200712.

¹Note that Hankel matrices and Hankel operators play an important role in many areas of mathematics and applications, see [P2].

In §2 we collect necessary information on tensor products and Besov spaces.

2. Preliminaries

1. Projective and injective tensor products. We define the *projective tensor product* $\ell^\infty \hat{\otimes} \ell^\infty$ as the space of matrices $\{q_{jk}\}_{j,k \geq 0}$ of the form

$$q_{jk} = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_j^{(n)} b_k^{(n)}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the $a^{(n)} = \{a_j^{(n)}\}_{j \geq 0}$ and $b^{(n)} = \{b_j^{(n)}\}_{j \geq 0}$ are sequences in ℓ^∞ such that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \|a^{(n)}\|_{\ell^\infty} \|b^{(n)}\|_{\ell^\infty} < \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

The norm of the matrix $\{q_{jk}\}_{j,k \geq 0}$ in $\ell^\infty \hat{\otimes} \ell^\infty$ is defined as the infimum of the left-hand side of (2.2) over all sequences $a^{(n)} = \{a_j^{(n)}\}_{j \geq 0}$ and $b^{(n)} = \{b_j^{(n)}\}_{j \geq 0}$ satisfying (2.1).

Similarly, one can define the projective tensor products $c \hat{\otimes} c$ and $c_0 \hat{\otimes} c_0$, where c is the subspace of ℓ^∞ that consists of the converging sequences and c_0 is the subspace of c that consists of the sequences with zero limit.

We consider the space V^2 that is a kind of a “weak completion” of $\ell^\infty \hat{\otimes} \ell^\infty$. V^2 consists of the matrices $Q = \{q_{jk}\}_{j,k \geq 0}$ for which

$$\sup_{n > 0} \|P_n Q\|_{\ell^\infty \hat{\otimes} \ell^\infty} < \infty,$$

where the projections P_n are defined by

$$(P_n Q)_{jk} = \begin{cases} q_{jk}, & j \leq n, \ k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $c \hat{\otimes} c \subset \ell^\infty \hat{\otimes} \ell^\infty \subset V^2$.

The *injective tensor product* $\ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1$ of two spaces ℓ^1 is, by definition, the space of matrices $Q = \{q_{jk}\}_{j,k \geq 0}$ such that

$$\|Q\|_{\ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1} = \sup \left| \sum_{j,k=0}^N q_{jk} x_j y_k \right| < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all sequences $\{x_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ and $\{y_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ in the unit ball of ℓ^∞ and over all positive integers N . The space $\ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1$ can naturally be identified with the space of bounded linear operators from c_0 to ℓ^1 (note that every bounded operator from c_0 to ℓ^1 is compact).

2. Besov spaces. In this paper we consider only Besov spaces of functions analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. Besov spaces $B_{p,q}^s$ admit many equivalent descriptions. We give a definition in terms of dyadic Fourier expansions. We define the polynomials W_n , $n \geq 0$, as follows. If $n \geq 1$, then $\widehat{W}_n(2^n) = 1$, $\widehat{W}_n(k) = 0$ for $k \notin (2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1})$, and $\widehat{W}_n$ is a

linear function on $[2^{n-1}, 2^n]$ and on $[2^n, 2^{n+1}]$. We put $W_0(z) = 1 + z$. It is easy to see that

$$\|W_n\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

and

$$f = \sum_{n \geq 0} f * W_n$$

for an arbitrary analytic function f in $\mathbb{D}$.

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the Besov space $B_{p,q}^s$ as the space of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying

$$f \in B_{p,q}^s \iff \{2^{ns} \|f * W_n\|_{L^p}\}_{n \geq 0} \in \ell^q. \quad (2.3)$$

If $q = \infty$, the space $B_{p,q}^s$ is nonseparable. We denote by $b_{p,\infty}^s$ the closure of the set of polynomials in $B_{p,\infty}^s$. It is easy to verify that

$$f \in b_{p,\infty}^s \iff \{2^{ns} \|f * W_n\|_{L^p}\}_{n \geq 0} \in c_0.$$

Besov spaces admit many other descriptions (see [Pe] and [P2]).

3. Problem 8

To state Mazur's Problem 8 of the Scottish Book [SB], consider the bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ on $c \times c$ defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}, \{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}) = \{z_n\}_{n \geq 0},$$

where

$$z_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n x_k y_{n-k}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

and c is the space of sequences that have a limit at infinity.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{B}$ maps $c \times c$ into c . *S. Mazur asked in Problem 8 whether $\mathcal{B}$ maps $c \times c$ onto c .*

As mentioned in the Introduction, a negative solution to problem 8 follows immediately from the results of §5 of [P1]. To state Theorem 5.1 of [P1], we define the operator $\mathcal{A}$ on the space of matrices. Let $Q = \{q_{jk}\}_{j,k \geq 0}$. Then $\mathcal{A}Q$ is the sequence defined by

$$\mathcal{A}Q = \{z_n\}_{n \geq 0}, \quad \text{where} \quad z_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j+k=n} q_{jk}.$$

Theorem 5.1 of [P1]. *$\mathcal{A}$ maps the space V^2 onto the space of Fourier coefficients of the Besov space $B_{1,\infty}^0$.*

Recall that the space V^2 is defined in the introduction. In particular, it follows from Theorem 5.1 of [P1] that

$$\mathcal{A}(c \hat{\otimes} c) \subset \mathcal{A}(\ell^\infty \hat{\otimes} \ell^\infty) \subset \mathcal{A}(V^2) \subset \left\{ \{\hat{f}(n)\}_{n \geq 0} : f \in B_{1,\infty}^0 \right\},$$

and so

$$\mathcal{B}(c \times c) \subset \left\{ \{\hat{f}(n)\}_{n \geq 0} : f \in B_{1,\infty}^0 \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$c \notin \left\{ \{\hat{f}(n)\}_{n \geq 0} : f \in B_{1,\infty}^0 \right\}.$$

Indeed, if $f \in B_{1,\infty}^0$, then it follows immediately from (2.3) and from [R], §8.6 that

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^n |\hat{f}(2^n + 2^k)|^2 < \infty.$$

This gives a negative solution to Problem 8.

In fact, Theorem 5.1 of [P1] allows one to describe $\mathcal{A}(c \hat{\otimes} c)$. First, let us observe that Theorem 5.1 of [P1] easily implies the following description of $\mathcal{A}(c_0 \hat{\otimes} c_0)$.

Theorem 3.1.

$$\mathcal{A}(c_0 \hat{\otimes} c_0) = \left\{ \{\hat{f}(n)\}_{n \geq 0} : f \in b_{1,\infty}^0 \right\}.$$

Recall that $b_{1,\infty}^0$ is the closure of the polynomials in $B_{1,\infty}^0$ (see §2). Theorem 3.1, in turn, easily implies the following description of $\mathcal{A}(c \hat{\otimes} c)$.

Theorem 3.2.

$$\mathcal{A}(c \hat{\otimes} c) = \left\{ \{\hat{f}(n) + d\}_{n \geq 0} : f \in b_{1,\infty}^0, d \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

4. Problem 88

Recall that in Problem 88 of [SB] *S. Mazur asked whether a Hankel matrix $\{\gamma_{j+k}\}_{j,k \geq 0}$ in the injective tensor product $\ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1$ must satisfy the condition:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+k) |\gamma_k| < \infty,$$

i.e., whether the sum of the moduli of the matrix entries must be finite.

As mentioned in the Introduction, a negative solution to Problem 88 follows immediately from the results of §5 of [P1]. A complete description of Hankel matrices in $\ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1$ is given by Theorem 5.2 of [P1]:

Theorem 5.2 of [P1]. *A Hankel matrix $\{\gamma_{j+k}\}_{j,k \geq 0}$ belongs to $\ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1$ if and only if the function f defined by*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \gamma_n z^n$$

belongs to the Besov class $B_{\infty,1}^1$.

Let us obtain the best possible estimate on the moduli of the matrix entries of Hankel matrices in $\ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1$.

Since $\|f * W_n\|_{L^2} \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|W_n\|_{L^1} \leq 3/2 \|f\|_{L^2}$, it follows easily from (2.3) that if $f \in B_{\infty 1}^1$, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \left(\sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} |\hat{f}(k)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Let us show that this is the best possible estimate for the moduli of the Fourier coefficients of functions in $B_{\infty 1}^1$. To show this, we are going to use a version of the de Leeuw–Katznelson–Kahane theorem. It was proved in [dLKK] that if $\{\beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of nonnegative numbers in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, then there exists a continuous function f on $\mathbb{T}$ such that

$$|\hat{f}(n)| \geq \beta_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We refer the reader to [K1], [K2], and [N] for refinements of the de Leeuw–Katznelson–Kahane theorem and different proofs. We need the following version of the de Leeuw–Katznelson–Kahane theorem:

Lemma 4.1. *There is a positive number K such that for arbitrary nonnegative numbers $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$, there exists a polynomial f of degree m such that*

$$|\hat{f}(j)| \geq \beta_j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m, \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq K \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \beta_j^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Lemma 4.1 follows easily from the results of [K2].

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers such that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \left(\sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

Then there exists a function φ in the space $B_{\infty 1}^1$ such that $|\hat{\varphi}(k)| \geq \alpha_k$ for $k \geq 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, there exists $K > 0$ and a sequence of polynomials f_n , $n \geq 0$, such that

$$f_0(z) = \hat{f}_0(0) + \hat{f}_0(1)z, \quad f_n(z) = \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \hat{f}_n(k)z^k, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

$|\hat{f}_0(k)| \geq \alpha_k$, for $k = 0, 1$, $|\hat{f}_n(k)| \geq \alpha_k$ for $n \geq 1$, $2^n \leq k \leq 2^{n+1} - 1$,
and

$$\|f_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq K(\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2)^{1/2}, \quad \|f_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq K \left(\sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

We can define now the function φ by

$$\varphi = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n.$$

Obviously, $|\hat{\varphi}(k)| \geq \alpha_k$ for $k \geq 0$. Let us show that $\varphi \in B_{\infty 1}^1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 1} 2^n \|\varphi * W_n\|_{L^\infty} &= \sum_{n \geq 1} 2^n \|(f_{n-1} + f_n + f_{n+1}) * W_n\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} 2^n \|(f_{n-1} + f_n + f_{n+1})\|_{L^\infty} \|W_n\|_{L^1} \\
&\leq 3 \sum_{n \geq 1} 2^n \|f_n\|_{L^\infty} \|W_n\|_{L^1} \leq 3 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} 2^n \|f_n\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq \frac{9}{2} K \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \left(\sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

In [PS] the following problem was considered. Let Ψ be the function on $(0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\Psi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2}t - 1, & 0 < t \leq 2, \\ t, & t > 2. \end{cases}$$

Let $\{\gamma_{j+k}\}_{j,k \geq 0}$ be a Hankel matrix. The following result was proved in [PS] (Theorem 6.7):

(i) if $\beta < \Psi(t)$, then

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} |\gamma_k|^t (1+k)^\beta < \infty \quad \text{whenever} \quad \{\gamma_{j+k}\}_{j,k \geq 0} \in \ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1;$$

(ii) if $\beta > \Psi(t)$, then

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} |\gamma_k|^t (1+k)^\beta = \infty \quad \text{for some} \quad \{\gamma_{j+k}\}_{j,k \geq 0} \in \ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1;$$

(iii) if $\beta = \Psi(t)$ and $\frac{4}{3} \leq t < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} |\gamma_k|^t (1+k)^\beta < \infty \quad \text{whenever} \quad \{\gamma_{j+k}\}_{j,k \geq 0} \in \ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1.$$

In [PS] the problem is raised to find out whether

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} |\gamma_k|^t (1+k)^{\Psi(t)}$$

has to be finite for $t \in (0, \frac{4}{3})$ whenever $\{\gamma_{j+k}\}_{j,k \geq 0} \in \ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1$.

It is easy to deduce Theorem 6.7 of [PS] from (4.1) and above Theorem 4.2. Moreover, using (4.1) and Theorem 4.2, we can solve the problem posed in [PS] and settle the case $t \in (0, \frac{4}{3})$.

Theorem 4.3. *If $1 \leq t < \frac{4}{3}$, then*

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} |\gamma_k|^t (1+k)^{3t/2-1} < \infty \quad \text{whenever} \quad \{\gamma_{j+k}\}_{j,k \geq 0} \in \ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1.$$

If $0 < t < 1$, then

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} |\gamma_k|^t (1+k)^{3t/2-1} = \infty \quad \text{for some } \{\gamma_{j+k}\}_{j,k \geq 0} \in \ell^1 \check{\otimes} \ell^1.$$

Proof. Suppose that $1 \leq t < 2$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 1} |\gamma_k|^t (1+k)^{3t/2-1} &\leq \text{const} \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{n(3t/2-1)} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\gamma_k|^t \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{\frac{3n}{2}t} 2^{-n} \left(\sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\gamma_k|^2 \right)^{t/2} 2^{n(1-t/2)} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{nt} \left(\sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\gamma_k|^2 \right)^{t/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t \geq 1$, the ℓ^t norm of a sequence does not exceed its ℓ^1 norm, and so

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{nt} \left(\sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\gamma_k|^2 \right)^{t/2} \leq \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} 2^n \left(\sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\gamma_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right)^t.$$

The result follows now from Theorem 5.2 of [P1] and (4.1).

Suppose now that $0 < t < 1$. It follows from Theorem 4.2 that it suffices to find a sequence $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of nonnegative numbers that satisfies (4.2) and such that

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k^t (1+k)^{3t/2-1} = \infty.$$

Let $\{\delta_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\{2^{3n/2}\delta_n\}_{n \geq 0} \in \ell^1$, but $\{2^{3n/2}\delta_n\}_{n \geq 0} \notin \ell^t$.

Put

$$\alpha_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_k = \delta_n \quad \text{if} \quad 2^n \leq k \leq 2^{n+1} - 1.$$

We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} 2^n \left(\sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k^2 \right)^{1/2} = \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{3n/2} \delta_n < \infty.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k^t (1+k)^{3t/2-1} &\geq \text{const} \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{n(3t/2-1)} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}} \alpha_k^t \\ &= \text{const} \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{n(3t/2-1)} 2^n \delta_n^t = \text{const} \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{3nt/2} \delta_n^t = \infty. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [dLKK] K. DE LEEUW, Y. KATZNELSON, and J.-P. KAHANE, *Sur les coefficients de Fourier des fonctions continues*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **285** (1977), A1001–A1003.
- [EL] P.P.B. EGGERMONT and Y.J. LEUNG, *On a factorization problem for convergent sequences and on Hankel forms in bounded sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 269–274.
- [K1] S.V. KISLYAKOV, *Fourier coefficients of boundary values of functions that are analytic in the disc and bidisc* (Russian). In: Spectral theory of functions and operators, II. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **155** (1981), 77–94.
- [K2] S.V. KISLYAKOV, *Fourier coefficients of continuous functions and a class of multipliers*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **38** (1988), 147–183.
- [KP] S. KWAPIEŃ and A. PELCZYŃSKI, *On two problems of S. Mazur from the Scottish Book*, Lecture at the Colloquium dedicated to the memory of Stanisław Mazur, Warsaw Univ. (1985) (unpublished).
- [N] F.L. NAZAROV, *The Bang solution of the coefficient problem* (Russian), Algebra i Analiz **9** (1997), 272–287;
English Translation: St. Petersburg Math. J. **9** (1998), 407–419.
- [Pe] J. PEETRE, *New thoughts on Besov spaces*, Duke Univ. Press., Durham, NC, 1976.
- [PS] A. PELCZYŃSKI and F. SUKOCHEV, *Some remarks on Toeplitz multipliers and Hankel matrices*, Studia Math. **175** (2006), 175–204.
- [P1] V.V. PELLER, *Estimates of functions of power bounded operators on Hilbert spaces*, J. Operator Theory **7** (1982), 341–372.
- [P2] V.V. PELLER, *Hankel operators and their applications*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [R] W. RUDIN, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Wiley Classics Library, Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990.
- [SB] *The Scottish Book*, R.D. Mauldin (ed.), Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1979.

Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan 48824
USA
email: peller@math.msu.edu